

Separable deformations of group algebras: The Donald–Flanigan Conjecture

Ariel Amsalem
Advisor: Yuval Ginosar

University of Haifa

Blankenberge
June 20, 2023

Can a group algebra kG always be deformed to a separable algebra?

Given a field k and a finite group G , Maschke's well-known theorem asserts the following:

Given a field k and a finite group G , Maschke's well-known theorem asserts the following:

Theorem (H. Maschke)

The group algebra kG is k -separable iff the order of G is invertible in k

Given a field k and a finite group G , Maschke's well-known theorem asserts the following:

Theorem (H. Maschke)

The group algebra kG is k -separable iff the order of G is invertible in k (by *separable*, we mean that $\bar{k} \otimes_k (kG)$ is semisimple).

Given a field k and a finite group G , Maschke's well-known theorem asserts the following:

Theorem (H. Maschke)

The group algebra kG is k -separable iff the order of G is invertible in k (by *separable*, we mean that $\bar{k} \otimes_k (kG)$ is semisimple).

In the modular case, that is where $\text{char}(k)$ does divide $|G|$, can kG still be *deformed* into a separable algebra?

Given a field k and a finite group G , Maschke's well-known theorem asserts the following:

Theorem (H. Maschke)

The group algebra kG is k -separable iff the order of G is invertible in k (by *separable*, we mean that $\bar{k} \otimes_k (kG)$ is semisimple).

In the modular case, that is where $\text{char}(k)$ does divide $|G|$, can kG still be *deformed* into a separable algebra?

That is:

Given a field k and a finite group G , Maschke's well-known theorem asserts the following:

Theorem (H. Maschke)

The group algebra kG is k -separable iff the order of G is invertible in k (by *separable*, we mean that $\bar{k} \otimes_k (kG)$ is semisimple).

In the modular case, that is where $\text{char}(k)$ does divide $|G|$, can kG still be *deformed* into a separable algebra?

That is:

Question

Does there exist a $k[[t]]$ -algebra $[kG]_t$ with $[kG]_t / \langle t \rangle \cong kG$, such that the scalar extension $(kG)_t := k((t)) \otimes_{k[[t]]} [kG]_t$ is $k((t))$ -separable?

Given a field k and a finite group G , Maschke's well-known theorem asserts the following:

Theorem (H. Maschke)

The group algebra kG is k -separable iff the order of G is invertible in k (by *separable*, we mean that $\bar{k} \otimes_k (kG)$ is semisimple).

In the modular case, that is where $\text{char}(k)$ does divide $|G|$, can kG still be *deformed* into a separable algebra?

That is:

Question

Does there exist a $k[[t]]$ -algebra $[kG]_t$ with $[kG]_t / \langle t \rangle \cong kG$, such that the scalar extension $(kG)_t := k((t)) \otimes_{k[[t]]} [kG]_t$ is $k((t))$ -separable?

Here, $k[[t]]$ is the ring of laurent series of elements in k , and $k((t))$ is it's field of fractions.

Given a field k and a finite group G , Maschke's well-known theorem asserts the following:

Theorem (H. Maschke)

The group algebra kG is k -separable iff the order of G is invertible in k (by *separable*, we mean that $\bar{k} \otimes_k (kG)$ is semisimple).

In the modular case, that is where $\text{char}(k)$ does divide $|G|$, can kG still be *deformed* into a separable algebra?

That is:

Question

Does there exist a $k[[t]]$ -algebra $[kG]_t$ with $[kG]_t / \langle t \rangle \cong kG$, such that the scalar extension $(kG)_t := k((t)) \otimes_{k[[t]]} [kG]_t$ is $k((t))$ -separable?

Here, $k[[t]]$ is the ring of laurent series of elements in k , and $k((t))$ is it's field of fractions.

Given a field k and a finite group G , Maschke's well-known theorem asserts the following:

Theorem (H. Maschke)

The group algebra kG is k -separable iff the order of G is invertible in k (by *separable*, we mean that $\bar{k} \otimes_k (kG)$ is semisimple).

In the modular case, that is where $\text{char}(k)$ does divide $|G|$, can kG still be *deformed* into a separable algebra?

That is:

Question

Does there exist a $k[[t]]$ -algebra $[kG]_t$ with $[kG]_t / \langle t \rangle \cong kG$, such that the scalar extension $(kG)_t := k((t)) \otimes_{k[[t]]} [kG]_t$ is $k((t))$ -separable?

Given a field k and a finite group G , Maschke's well-known theorem asserts the following:

Theorem (H. Maschke)

The group algebra kG is k -separable iff the order of G is invertible in k (by *separable*, we mean that $\bar{k} \otimes_k (kG)$ is semisimple).

In the modular case, that is where $\text{char}(k)$ does divide $|G|$, can kG still be *deformed* into a separable algebra?

That is:

Question

Does there exist a $k[[t]]$ -algebra $[kG]_t$ with $[kG]_t/\langle t \rangle \cong kG$, such that the scalar extension $(kG)_t := k((t)) \otimes_{k[[t]]} [kG]_t$ is $k((t))$ -separable?

The [DF] Conjecture

The [DF] Conjecture asserts that this 50 years old open question has a positive answer.

Consider the next example:

Consider the next example:

Let C_n be a cyclic group of order n and let k be a field.

Consider the next example:

Let C_n be a cyclic group of order n and let k be a field.
Note that we have an isomorphism

$$kC_n \cong k[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle.$$

Consider the next example:

Let C_n be a cyclic group of order n and let k be a field. Note that we have an isomorphism

$$kC_n \cong k[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle.$$

One can show that

$$(kC_n)_t := k((t))[x]/\langle x^n - tx - 1 \rangle$$

is a separable deformation of kC_n .

What is known so far

What is known so far

Given separable deformations $(kG_1)_t$ and $(kG_2)_t$ of some group algebras kG_1 and kG_2 respectively, the algebra $(kG_1)_t \otimes_{k((t))} (kG_2)_t$ is a separable deformation of $k(G_1 \times G_2)$.

What is known so far

Given separable deformations $(kG_1)_t$ and $(kG_2)_t$ of some group algebras kG_1 and kG_2 respectively, the algebra $(kG_1)_t \otimes_{k((t))} (kG_2)_t$ is a separable deformation of $k(G_1 \times G_2)$.

Hence, for an abelian group H , the group algebra kH admits a separable deformation.

What is known so far

Given separable deformations $(kG_1)_t$ and $(kG_2)_t$ of some group algebras kG_1 and kG_2 respectively, the algebra $(kG_1)_t \otimes_{k((t))} (kG_2)_t$ is a separable deformation of $k(G_1 \times G_2)$.

Hence, for an abelian group H , the group algebra kH admits a separable deformation.

What is known so far

What is known so far

Regrading non abelian groups, the next list describes what is known (which is not much):

What is known so far

Regrading non abelian groups, the next list describes what is known (which is not much):

- When G is a group that has a cyclic p -Sylow subgroup, and k of a characteristic p (M. Schaps (1994)).
- When G has a normal Abelian p -Sylow subgroup and k as above (M. Gerstenhaber and M. Schaps (1996)).
- When G is a dihedral group (K. Erdmann and M. Schaps (1993)) or a semidihedral group (K. Erdmann (1994)).
- When G is a reflection group (with six exceptions) (M. Gerstenhaber, A. Giaquinto and M. Schaps (2001), M. Gerstenhaber and M. Schaps (1997), M. Peretz and M. Schaps (1999)).
- When G is the generalized quaternion group Q_{2^n} (Y. Ginosar (2019)).

What is known so far

Regrading non abelian groups, the next list describes what is known (which is not much):

- When G is a group that has a cyclic p -Sylow subgroup, and k of a characteristic p (M. Schaps (1994)).
- When G has a normal Abelian p -Sylow subgroup and k as above (M. Gerstenhaber and M. Schaps (1996)).
- When G is a dihedral group (K. Erdmann and M. Schaps (1993)) or a semidihedral group (K. Erdmann (1994)).
- When G is a reflection group (with six exceptions) (M. Gerstenhaber, A. Giaquinto and M. Schaps (2001), M. Gerstenhaber and M. Schaps (1997), M. Peretz and M. Schaps (1999)).
- When G is the generalized quaternion group Q_{2^n} (Y. Ginosar (2019)).

Note that even for the case of a semidirect product of cyclic groups $C_m \rtimes_{\xi} C_n$, we do not have an answer.

Our contribution

Our contribution

We suggest to tackle the problem using induction.

Our contribution

We suggest to tackle the problem using induction.

Let

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

be a group extension.

Our contribution

We suggest to tackle the problem using induction.

Let

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

be a group extension. For a field k , suppose that we have a separable deformation $(kN)_t$ of kN .

Our contribution

We suggest to tackle the problem using induction.

Let

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

be a group extension. For a field k , suppose that we have a separable deformation $(kN)_t$ of kN .

Can we use this information in order to find a separable deformation of kG ?

The solvable group case

The solvable group case

Let k be a field and suppose that G is a solvable group.

The solvable group case

Let k be a field and suppose that G is a solvable group. Then, we have an extension

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow C_p \longrightarrow 1,$$

for some prime number p .

The solvable group case

Let k be a field and suppose that G is a solvable group. Then, we have an extension

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow C_p \longrightarrow 1,$$

for some prime number p .

In this case, we can describe kG as a quotient of some skew polynomial ring $kN[y; \eta]$:

The solvable group case

Let k be a field and suppose that G is a solvable group. Then, we have an extension

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow C_p \longrightarrow 1,$$

for some prime number p .

In this case, we can describe kG as a quotient of some skew polynomial ring $kN[y; \eta]$:

- $\eta \in \text{Aut}_k(kN)$, such that η^p acts on kN as a conjugation by an η -invariant element $u \in kN$.

The solvable group case

Let k be a field and suppose that G is a solvable group. Then, we have an extension

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow C_p \longrightarrow 1,$$

for some prime number p .

In this case, we can describe kG as a quotient of some skew polynomial ring $kN[y; \eta]$:

- $\eta \in \text{Aut}_k(kN)$, such that η^p acts on kN as a conjugation by an η -invariant element $u \in kN$.
- $kG \cong kN[y; \eta] / \langle y^p - u \rangle$.

The solvable group case

Let k be a field and suppose that G is a solvable group. Then, we have an extension

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow C_p \longrightarrow 1,$$

for some prime number p .

In this case, we can describe kG as a quotient of some skew polynomial ring $kN[y; \eta]$:

- $\eta \in \text{Aut}_k(kN)$, such that η^p acts on kN as a conjugation by an η -invariant element $u \in kN$.
- $kG \cong kN[y; \eta] / \langle y^p - u \rangle$.

This is a special case of a *crossed product*, and we denote

$$kN * C_p := kN[y; \eta] / \langle y^p - u \rangle.$$

Our proposed strategy

Our proposed strategy

Given a crossed product $kN * C_p := kN[y; \eta] / \langle y^p - u \rangle$, we propose the following:

Our proposed strategy

Given a crossed product $kN * C_p := kN[y; \eta] / \langle y^p - u \rangle$, we propose the following:

- (a) Find a separable deformation $(kN)_t$ of kN .

Our proposed strategy

Given a crossed product $kN * C_p := kN[y; \eta] / \langle y^p - u \rangle$, we propose the following:

- (a) Find a separable deformation $(kN)_t$ of kN .
- (b) Find an automorphism $\eta_t \in \text{Aut}_k((kN)_t)$, such that η_t^p acts on $(kN)_t$ as a conjugation by an η_t -invariant element $u_t \in (kN)_t$, such that:

Our proposed strategy

Given a crossed product $kN * C_p := kN[y; \eta] / \langle y^p - u \rangle$, we propose the following:

- (a) Find a separable deformation $(kN)_t$ of kN .
- (b) Find an automorphism $\eta_t \in \text{Aut}_k((kN)_t)$, such that η_t^p acts on $(kN)_t$ as a conjugation by an η_t -invariant element $u_t \in (kN)_t$, such that:
 - ▶ $\eta_t(a) - \eta(a) \in t[kN]_t, \forall a \in kN$.

Our proposed strategy

Given a crossed product $kN * C_p := kN[y; \eta] / \langle y^p - u \rangle$, we propose the following:

- (a) Find a separable deformation $(kN)_t$ of kN .
- (b) Find an automorphism $\eta_t \in \text{Aut}_k((kN)_t)$, such that η_t^p acts on $(kN)_t$ as a conjugation by an η_t -invariant element $u_t \in (kN)_t$, such that:
 - ▶ $\eta_t(a) - \eta(a) \in t[kN]_t, \forall a \in kN$.
 - ▶ $u_t - u \in t[kN]_t$.

Our proposed strategy

Given a crossed product $kN * C_p := kN[y; \eta] / \langle y^p - u \rangle$, we propose the following:

- (a) Find a separable deformation $(kN)_t$ of kN .
- (b) Find an automorphism $\eta_t \in \text{Aut}_k((kN)_t)$, such that η_t^p acts on $(kN)_t$ as a conjugation by an η_t -invariant element $u_t \in (kN)_t$, such that:
 - ▶ $\eta_t(a) - \eta(a) \in t[kN]_t, \forall a \in kN$.
 - ▶ $u_t - u \in t[kN]_t$.

Theorem

Our proposed strategy

Given a crossed product $kN * C_p := kN[y; \eta] / \langle y^p - u \rangle$, we propose the following:

- (a) Find a separable deformation $(kN)_t$ of kN .
- (b) Find an automorphism $\eta_t \in \text{Aut}_k((kN)_t)$, such that η_t^p acts on $(kN)_t$ as a conjugation by an η_t -invariant element $u_t \in (kN)_t$, such that:
 - ▶ $\eta_t(a) - \eta(a) \in t[kN]_t, \forall a \in kN$.
 - ▶ $u_t - u \in t[kN]_t$.

Theorem

Suppose that (a) and (b) above are satisfied.

Our proposed strategy

Given a crossed product $kN * C_p := kN[y; \eta] / \langle y^p - u \rangle$, we propose the following:

- (a) Find a separable deformation $(kN)_t$ of kN .
- (b) Find an automorphism $\eta_t \in \text{Aut}_k((kN)_t)$, such that η_t^p acts on $(kN)_t$ as a conjugation by an η_t -invariant element $u_t \in (kN)_t$, such that:
 - ▶ $\eta_t(a) - \eta(a) \in t[kN]_t, \forall a \in kN$.
 - ▶ $u_t - u \in t[kN]_t$.

Theorem

Suppose that (a) and (b) above are satisfied.

Then, there is a polynomial $q_t(y) \in t[kN]_t[y; \eta_t]$, such that

$$(kN)_t[y; \eta_t] / \langle y^p - u_t + q_t(y) \rangle$$

is a separable deformation of $kN * C_p$.

Our family of new examples

Our family of new examples

Let $s > 1$ be an odd integer and let σ and τ be generators of C_{s^2-1} and C_2 respectively.

Our family of new examples

Let $s > 1$ be an odd integer and let σ and τ be generators of C_{s^2-1} and C_2 respectively.

Consider the semidirect product

$$G := C_{s^2-1} \rtimes_{\xi} C_2 = \langle \sigma \rangle \rtimes_{\xi} \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where $\xi(\tau)(\sigma) = \sigma^s$.

Our family of new examples

Let $s > 1$ be an odd integer and let σ and τ be generators of C_{s^2-1} and C_2 respectively.

Consider the semidirect product

$$G := C_{s^2-1} \rtimes_{\xi} C_2 = \langle \sigma \rangle \rtimes_{\xi} \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where $\xi(\tau)(\sigma) = \sigma^s$.

Then, given a field k , kG is isomorphic to the crossed product

$$kC_{s^2-1} * C_2 := kC_{s^2-1}[y; \eta] / \langle y^2 - 1 \rangle,$$

Our family of new examples

Let $s > 1$ be an odd integer and let σ and τ be generators of C_{s^2-1} and C_2 respectively.

Consider the semidirect product

$$G := C_{s^2-1} \rtimes_{\xi} C_2 = \langle \sigma \rangle \rtimes_{\xi} \langle \tau \rangle,$$

where $\xi(\tau)(\sigma) = \sigma^s$.

Then, given a field k , kG is isomorphic to the crossed product

$$kC_{s^2-1} * C_2 := kC_{s^2-1}[y; \eta] / \langle y^2 - 1 \rangle,$$

where

$$\eta : kC_{s^2-1} \rightarrow kC_{s^2-1}$$

is a linear extension of the automorphism

$$\xi(\tau) : C_{s^2-1} \rightarrow C_{s^2-1}.$$

Our family of new examples

$$G = C_{s^2-1} \rtimes_{\xi} C_2$$
$$kG \cong kC_{s^2-1} * C_2 := kC_{s^2-1}[y; \eta] / \langle y^2 - 1 \rangle$$

Our family of new examples

$$G = C_{s^2-1} \rtimes_{\xi} C_2$$
$$kG \cong kC_{s^2-1} * C_2 := kC_{s^2-1}[y; \eta] / \langle y^2 - 1 \rangle$$

We assume also that $\text{char}(k) = 2$, $k = \bar{k}$ and define $(kC_{s^2-1})_t$, η_t and u_t as follows:

Our family of new examples

$$G = C_{s^2-1} \rtimes_{\xi} C_2$$
$$kG \cong kC_{s^2-1} * C_2 := kC_{s^2-1}[y; \eta] / \langle y^2 - 1 \rangle$$

We assume also that $\text{char}(k) = 2$, $k = \bar{k}$ and define $(kC_{s^2-1})_t$, η_t and u_t as follows:

(a) $(kC_{s^2-1})_t := k((t))[x] / \langle \pi_t(x) \rangle$, where

$$\pi_t(x) = x^{s-1}(x^{s-1} + tx)^s + tx(x^{s-1} + tx)^2 - 1.$$

Our family of new examples

$$G = C_{s^2-1} \rtimes_{\xi} C_2$$
$$kG \cong kC_{s^2-1} * C_2 := kC_{s^2-1}[y; \eta] / \langle y^2 - 1 \rangle$$

We assume also that $\text{char}(k) = 2$, $k = \bar{k}$ and define $(kC_{s^2-1})_t$, η_t and u_t as follows:

(a) $(kC_{s^2-1})_t := k((t))[x] / \langle \pi_t(x) \rangle$, where

$$\pi_t(x) = x^{s-1}(x^{s-1} + tx)^s + tx(x^{s-1} + tx)^2 - 1.$$

(b) $\eta_t(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}^s + t\bar{x}^2$, where $\bar{x} := x + \langle \pi_t(x) \rangle$.

Our family of new examples

$$G = C_{s^2-1} \rtimes_{\xi} C_2$$
$$kG \cong kC_{s^2-1} * C_2 := kC_{s^2-1}[y; \eta] / \langle y^2 - 1 \rangle$$

We assume also that $\text{char}(k) = 2$, $k = \bar{k}$ and define $(kC_{s^2-1})_t$, η_t and u_t as follows:

(a) $(kC_{s^2-1})_t := k((t))[x] / \langle \pi_t(x) \rangle$, where

$$\pi_t(x) = x^{s-1}(x^{s-1} + tx)^s + tx(x^{s-1} + tx)^2 - 1.$$

(b) $\eta_t(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}^s + t\bar{x}^2$, where $\bar{x} := x + \langle \pi_t(x) \rangle$.

η_t is an automorphism of an order 2, and hence, $u_t = 1$.

Our family of new examples

$$G = C_{s^2-1} \rtimes_{\xi} C_2$$
$$kG \cong kC_{s^2-1} * C_2 := kC_{s^2-1}[y; \eta] / \langle y^2 - 1 \rangle$$

We assume also that $\text{char}(k) = 2$, $k = \bar{k}$ and define $(kC_{s^2-1})_t$, η_t and u_t as follows:

(a) $(kC_{s^2-1})_t := k((t))[x] / \langle \pi_t(x) \rangle$, where

$$\pi_t(x) = x^{s-1}(x^{s-1} + tx)^s + tx(x^{s-1} + tx)^2 - 1.$$

(b) $\eta_t(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}^s + t\bar{x}^2$, where $\bar{x} := x + \langle \pi_t(x) \rangle$.

η_t is an automorphism of an order 2, and hence, $u_t = 1$.

So, by the Theorem shown, kG admits a separable deformation.

Our family of new examples

$$G = C_{s^2-1} \rtimes_{\xi} C_2$$
$$kG \cong kC_{s^2-1} * C_2 := kC_{s^2-1}[y; \eta] / \langle y^2 - 1 \rangle$$

We assume also that $\text{char}(k) = 2$, $k = \bar{k}$ and define $(kC_{s^2-1})_t$, η_t and u_t as follows:

(a) $(kC_{s^2-1})_t := k((t))[x] / \langle \pi_t(x) \rangle$, where

$$\pi_t(x) = x^{s-1}(x^{s-1} + tx)^s + tx(x^{s-1} + tx)^2 - 1.$$

(b) $\eta_t(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}^s + t\bar{x}^2$, where $\bar{x} := x + \langle \pi_t(x) \rangle$.

η_t is an automorphism of an order 2, and hence, $u_t = 1$.

So, by the Theorem shown, kG admits a separable deformation.

For any odd $s > 3$ (with some exceptions), we thus obtain a new example of a group satisfying the [DF] Conjecture.

Thank you!